



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/522,527

10/10/2006

David Dakin Iorwerth Wright

07588.0082

7497

22852

7590

11/25/2008

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

SOROUGH, ALI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1616

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/25/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/522,527	Applicant(s) WRIGHT ET AL.	
	Examiner ALI SOROUGH	Art Unit 1616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALI SOROUGH. (3) Anthony Tridico.

(2) Johann Richter. (4) Brenda Honeycutt.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Applicant showed a slide testing three different sclerosing compositions with different concentrations of nitrogen gas in an animal study. The slides showed the level of blockage by gas bubbles in the veins and/or arteries of the animal of each composition..

Claim(s) discussed: 1-26 and 28-39.

Identification of prior art discussed: Osman et al. (International Application Published Under the PCT WO 00/72821 A1, Publihsed 12/07/2000).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has stated that they would provide an additional declaration of unexpected results incorporating the data of the Eckman study presented in the demonstration. The Examiner will reconsider the rejections of record upon the filing of the decleration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

11/14/08	/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616
----------	---